

Classical integrable lattice models through quantum group related formalism

Anjan Kundu

Fachbereich 17–Mathematik/ Informatik, GH–Universität Kassel
Holländische Str. 36 34109 Kassel, Germany

Permanent address:

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, AF/1 Bidhan Nagar,
Calcutta 700 064, India.

Abstract

We translate effectively our earlier quantum constructions to the classical language and using Yang-Baxterisation of the Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan algebra are able to construct Lax operators and associated r -matrices of classical integrable models. Thus new as well as known lattice systems of different classes are generated including new types of collective integrable models and canonical models with nonstandard r matrices.

1 Introduction

The basic aim of present investigation is to show that some effective formalism developed around quantum algebra and quantum integrable systems can also be fruitfully applied to the domain of classical models. In particular we are able to translate most of our results related to the generation of quantum integrable models [1] to the *classical* language and present a systematic construction of the Lax operators $L(\lambda)$ and related classical $r(\lambda, \mu)$ -matrices for new as well as existing lattice models with canonical Poisson-bracket structures. It demonstrates that there exist some fundamental *building blocks* for both Lax operators and r -matrices, out of which these objects can be easily built up following a classical analog of the Yang-Baxterisation of the Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan algebra [2].

We also show that applying analogous *quantum* constructions one can recover cheaply though methodically many classical results, which were possibly discovered originally using deep intuition. Such examples are the famous Ablowitz-Ladik [AL] model [3], discrete time Toda chain [DTTC] [4], asymmetric lattice NLS model [5] etc.. Moreover one can construct now exactly integrable discrete version of derivative NLS and massive Thirring models, where earlier attempts failed.

Moreover the construction indicates the existence of local as well as global *collective* integrable models formed by collecting a number of constituent models belonging to the same *descendant* class, a possibility which has been ignored mostly in lattice model construction. Another new aspect is the formulation of a special class of integrable systems having nonstandard r -matrices with both additive and difference dependence on spectral parameters.

Hopefully the results presented here would draw attention of *classical* mathematical physicists and thus serve as a bridge narrowing the existing gap between the two camps: classical and quantum.

2 Building blocks and basic PB relations

Let us consider first simple constant solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equation:

$$[r_{12}, r_{13}] + [r_{12}, r_{23}] + [r_{13}, r_{23}] = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

given as

$$r^+ = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad r^- = \alpha \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where parameter α may be taken as the *deformation* parameter in the classical case. Similarly consider $L^\pm$ matrices also given in the upper/lower triangular form as

$$L^+ = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1^+ & \tau_{21} \\ & \tau_2^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad L^- = \begin{pmatrix} \tau_1^- & \\ \tau_{12} & \tau_2^- \end{pmatrix},$$

with elements $\{\tau\}$ being as yet undefined dependent variables. As we see below the matrices $r^\pm$ will serve as our building blocks for the construction of spectral parameter dependent classical $r(\xi, \eta)$ -matrix, while $L^\pm$ will do the same for the related Lax operators $L(\xi)$ of the integrable lattice models. To specify now the nature of $\{\tau\}$ variables these two sets of matrices are linked through the Poisson bracket (PB) relations

$$\{L^\pm \otimes, L^\pm\} = [r^\pm, L^\pm \otimes L^\pm], \quad (2.2)$$

$$\{L^+ \otimes, L^-\} = [r^+, L^+ \otimes L^-], \quad (2.3)$$

which are nothing but the classical analogs of the Faddeev-Reshetikhin-Takhtajan algebra, a well known relation in the subject of quantum group. The relations (2.2-3) in the elementwise form yields the defining PB relations among the τ variables as

$$\{\tau_{12}, \tau_{21}\} = 2\alpha (\tau_1^- \tau_2^+ - \tau_1^+ \tau_2^-), \quad \{\tau_i^\pm, \tau_j^\pm\} = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\{\tau_i^\pm, \tau_{12}\} = \pm \epsilon_i \alpha \tau_{12} \tau_i^\pm, \quad \{\tau_i^\pm, \tau_{21}\} = \mp \epsilon_i \alpha \tau_{21} \tau_i^\pm, \quad (2.5)$$

for $i, j = (1, 2)$ with $\epsilon_1 = 1$, $\epsilon_2 = -1$. Interestingly, when the *deforming* parameter $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, a consistent limit of (2.4-5) exists with

$$(\tau_i^+ + \tau_i^-) \rightarrow K_i^0, \quad (\tau_i^+ - \tau_i^-) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha} K_i^1, \quad \tau_{ij} \rightarrow K_{ij} \quad (2.6)$$

yielding the PB relations

$$\{K_{12}, K_{21}\} = K_1^0 K_2^1 - K_1^1 K_2^0, \quad \{K_1^0, K_2^0\} = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\{K_i^0, K_{12}\} = \epsilon_i K_{12} K_i^1, \quad \{K_i^0, K_{21}\} = -\epsilon_i K_{21} K_i^1, \quad (2.8)$$

where K_i^1 , $i = 1, 2$ becomes central elements with trivial PB with all others. The above Poisson algebras (2.4-5) and (2.7-8), as we show below, will play a decisive role in generating two different large classes of integrable models.

3 Lax operators and r -matrices through Yang - Baxterisation

Equipt with all the *building materials*: $L^\pm, r^\pm$ matrices and the relations (2.4-5) or (2.7-8) we can start constructing the Lax operator $L(\xi)$ and the $r(\xi, \eta)$ -matrix by *stiching* together the upper and lower triangular matrices through spectral parameters ξ, η as

$$r(\xi, \eta) = f(\xi_{12})[\xi_{12}^{-1}r^+ + \xi_{12}r^-], \quad L(\xi) = \xi^{-1}L^+ + \xi L^-, \quad (3.1)$$

where $\xi_{12} = \frac{\xi}{\eta}$ and $f(\xi_{12}) = \frac{\alpha}{2(\xi_{12}^{-1} - \xi_{12})}$ is a function with a pole at $\xi_{12} = 1$. To convince ourselves that the r and L matrices thus formed can be considered as the representatives of lattice integrable models, we should check that they satisfy the classical Yang-Baxter equation (CYBE)

$$\{L_n(\xi) \otimes, L_m(\eta)\} = \delta_{mn}[r(\xi, \eta), L_n(\xi) \otimes L_m(\eta)]. \quad (3.2)$$

This checking however becomes easy due to the algebra (2.2-3) along with the assumption of vanishing PB at different lattice points (ultralocality condition) and the obvious relation $r^+ + r^- = 2\alpha \mathbf{P}$ through the permutation operator $\mathbf{P}$ (the classical analog of the Hecke condition). Therefore one may get from (3.1) (after an irrelevant gauge transformation) a genuine Lax operator and the associated r matrix in explicit form as

$$L(\xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi\tau_1^- + \frac{1}{\xi}\tau_1^+ & \tau_{21} \\ \tau_{12} & \xi\tau_2^- + \frac{1}{\xi}\tau_2^+ \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$r(\xi, \eta) = \begin{pmatrix} a(\xi, \eta) & b(\xi, \eta) \\ b(\xi, \eta) & a(\xi, \eta) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

where $a(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\eta^2 + \xi^2}{2(\eta^2 - \xi^2)}$ and $b(\xi, \eta) = \frac{\eta\xi}{\eta^2 - \xi^2}$. Note that expressing spectral parameters in the form $\xi = e^{-\alpha\lambda}$, $\eta = e^{-\alpha\mu}$ the dependence of the above r -matrix (3.4) on trigonometric functions and moreover only on the defference of parameters as $r(\lambda - \mu)$ becomes obvious. Remarkably at *deformation* parameter $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ due to the existing limit (2.6) of the dependent variables and the expansion of spectral parameter $\xi \approx 1 - \alpha\lambda$ the $L(\xi)$ operator (3.3) reduces consistently to

$$L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} K_1^0 + \lambda K_1^1 & K_{21} \\ K_{12} & K_2^0 + \lambda K_2^1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

At the same time due to $f(\xi_{12}) \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{2(\lambda - \mu)}$, through the use of the Hecke condition trigonometric r -matrix (3.4) reduces to its rational limit

$$r(\lambda - \mu) = \frac{\mathbf{P}}{\lambda - \mu}. \quad (3.6)$$

We show in the next section that the Lax operator (3.3) along with the PB relations (2.4-5) between its elements serves as an excellent *ancestor* lattice model generating a large class of *descendants*, all sharing the same trigonometric $r(\xi, \eta)$ -matrix (3.4). Similarly (3.5) with (2.7-8) is responsible for another *descendant* class having the rational form (3.6) for the associated r -matrix.

4 Classical integrable lattice systems as descendant models

The idea is to insert the Lax operators on a lattice with $n = [1, N]$ sites and find consistent reductions of the general L operator (3.3) with PB relations (2.4-5) or of (3.5) with (2.7-8). For such reductions proper change of dependent variables from τ_n or K_n to canonical ones :

$$\{u_n, p_m\} = \delta_{nm} \quad \text{or} \quad \{\psi_n, \psi_n^\dagger\} = i\delta_{nm}$$

or to some other physically interesting variables (like in the AL model), would result different classical integrable lattice systems, since by construction these descendant models would be associated with r -matrix (3.4) or (3.6) and satisfy CYBE (3.2).

It is not difficult to show that symmetric reduction of the form

$$\tau_1^+ = (\tau_2^+)^{-1} = -\tau_2^- = -(\tau_1^-)^{-1}, \quad \tau_{12} = \tau_{21}^\dagger. \quad (4.1)$$

reduces PB relations (2.4-5) to the classical analog of the q -deformed algebra $U_q(su(2))$ [7], while similar symmetric reduction of (2.7-8) as

$$K_1^1 = K_2^1 = 1, \quad K_1^0 = -K_2^0, \quad K_{12} = K_{21}^\dagger \quad (4.2)$$

recovers the $su(2)$ algebra. The reduction (4.1) in turn, expressed through canonical variables (u, p) , yields the lattice sine-Gordon model [8], while reduction (4.2) realised in $(\psi, \psi^\dagger)$ gives the standard lattice NLS model [9]. It should be remarked that though such symmetric reductions are important due to their direct relation with popular algebraic structures, the significant feature of our L operators (3.3) and (3.5) is indeed in their explicit asymmetric form. And this in particular allows to yield (without going through any limiting procedure) the Lax operators of models exhibiting lesser symmetry.

An important example of such asymmetric models related to (3.3) is the Lattice Liouville model with

$$\tau_1^- = \tau_2^+ = 0, \quad \tau_1^+ = \tau_2^-$$

realised through u, p [1]. On the other hand asymmetric reduction of (3.5) given by

$$K_1^1 = i, \quad K_2^0 = K_2^1 = 0$$

with the expression for other functions as

$$K_1^0 = p, \quad K_{12} = (K_{12})^{-1} = e^u, \quad (4.3)$$

yields directly the Toda lattice model.

Remarkably, some recently proposed discrete integrable systems [5,10] can also be derived consistently as other possible asymmetric reductions of (3.5). For example,

$$K_2^1 = 0, \quad K_2^0 = K_1^1 = 1, \quad K_1^0 = \phi_n \psi_n, \quad K_{12} = \psi_n, \quad K_{21} = \phi_n \quad (4.4)$$

with $n = [1, N]$ generates [11] the simple lattice model of [5], while

$$K_2^1 = 0, \quad K_2^0 = \gamma, \quad K_1^1 = 1, \quad K_1^0 = \psi_i^* \psi_i + \omega_i, \quad K_{12} = \psi_i, \quad K_{21} = \gamma \psi_i^*, \quad (4.5)$$

with $i = 1, 2$ constructs the Toda-like lattice system considered in [10]. Evidently there exist different other reductions of (3.3) and (3.5) capable of generating other integrable systems. We also get as a bonus simultaneously the Lax operators and the r matrices of the constructed models ensuring their integrability.

It is interesting to note that such asymmetries can be made more explicit by considering models with new r -matrix solution

$$\tilde{r} = r_0 + 2f, \quad (4.6)$$

where r_0 is the original solution (3.4) or (3.6) and

$$f = \text{diag}(\eta' - \xi', \eta' + \xi', -(\eta' + \xi'), -(\eta' - \xi')) \quad (4.7)$$

with *colour* parameters η', ξ' . The expression (4.6-7) as a new solution of (2.1) is a classical statement of the twisting transformation of [12], which can also be checked otherwise by direct insertion. We consider the particular case

$$\eta' = c\eta + \alpha, \quad \xi' = c\xi + \alpha \quad (4.8)$$

with c being a constant parameter, which through (4.6-8) yields a new type of r -matrix with sum as well as difference dependence on spectral parameters. Observe that at $c = 0$, when $f = \text{diag}(0, \alpha, -\alpha, 0)$, with (3.4) as r_0 one recovers from (4.6) exactly the r -matrix associated with the AL [3] as well as the DTTC [4] models. A natural expectation is therefore that these models somehow must be hidden in our construction. To show that this is indeed the case, we note that for this transformed r -matrix and with the L operator taken in the form (3.3) the PB relations are changed from (2.4-5) consistently to

$$\{\tau_{12}, \tau_{21}\} = 2\alpha ((\tau_1^- \tau_2^+ - \tau_1^+ \tau_2^-) + \tau_{12} \tau_{21}) \quad (4.9)$$

$$\{\tau_i^+, \tau_{12}\} = 2\epsilon_i \alpha \tau_{12} \tau_i^+, \quad \{\tau_i^+, \tau_{21}\} = -2\epsilon_i \alpha \tau_{21} \tau_i^+, \quad (4.10)$$

with functions τ_i^- having now trivial PB with all other elements. The explicit asymmetry of (4.9-10) is obvious, which for

$$\tau_1^- = \tau_2^+ = 0, \quad \tau_1^+ = \tau_2^- = 1, \quad \tau_{12} = b_n, \quad \tau_{12} = b_n^* \quad (4.11)$$

with PB $\{b_m, b_n^*\} = -2\alpha(1 + b_n b_n^*)\delta_{mn}$, yields the same AL model. On the other hand reduction of (4.9-10) as

$$\tau_1^- = -1, \quad \tau_2^\pm = 0, \quad \tau_1^+ = e^{\alpha p_n}, \quad \tau_{21} = \alpha e^{q_n}, \quad \tau_{12} = -\alpha e^{-q_n + \alpha p_n} \quad (4.12)$$

gives the DTTC, meeting our expectation.

5 Collective models and models with nonadditive r -matrices

An interesting possibility of constructing *collective* models by joining the constituent integrable elements can be effectively exploited thanks to a symmetry of the CYBE (3.2). In particular, it is easily checked that if $L(\xi, \tau)$ and $\tilde{L}(\xi, \tilde{\tau})$ are Lax operators corresponding to two independent descendant models sharing the same r -matrix and with $\{L, \tilde{L}\} = 0$, then the *collective* model $L(\xi, \tau, \tilde{\tau}) = L(\xi, \tau)\tilde{L}(\xi, \tilde{\tau})$ will also be

integrable with the same r -matrix. This symmetry allows us to construct integrable models by collecting similar L operators at each lattice point. A recent construction [10] of Toda-like models by joining several bosonic systems is an example of such collective models. A more exciting example is possibly the construction of lattice massive Thirring model by joining integrable discrete derivative NLS models [13]. The discrete derivative NLS in turn may be given by the L operator with reduction of (3.4) as

$$\tau_1^+ = (\tau_2^+)^{-1} = q^{-N_n}, \quad \tau_1^- = \frac{a}{4i} q^{N_n+1}, \quad \tau_2^- = -\frac{a}{4i} q^{-(N_n+1)}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\tau_{12} = \left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} A_n = \tau_{21}^*, \quad q = e^\alpha \quad (5.2)$$

where a is the lattice constant, $N_n = a\psi_n^*\psi_n$, and A_n represents a q -boson [14] expressed as $A_n = \psi_n g(N_n)$, $g^2 = \frac{[2N_n]_q}{N_n}$. Using the invariance of (2.4-5) under the exchange of variables

$$\tau_1^+ \rightleftharpoons \tau_2^-, \quad \tau_2^+ \rightleftharpoons \tau_1^-$$

a similar operator $L^{(2)}$ with another independent component of ψ variable is obtained, yielding the collective model $L_n = L_n^{(1)} L_n^{(2)}$, which is the discrete version of the massive Thirring model.

Extending this idea to more global level we may even insert completely different descendant models at different lattice nodes, preserving only the periodic structure. It seems that such rich possibilities for constructing new classical integrable lattice systems with canonical structures remain mostly unexplored.

Finally we come to another novel construction of a class of lattice models with nonstandard r matrices. For this we have to choose nontrivial c in (4.8), while as r_0 in (4.6) one may take either the trigonometric (3.4) or the rational form (3.6). The function f naturally brings in new (sum as well as difference) dependence on spectral parameters. The associated L operator may also be changed accordingly keeping the PB relations (2.4-5) or (2.7-8) unchanged. Or alternatively, the L operator may again be chosen as (3.3) or (3.5) and get the deformed PB relations from the CYBE. Following the first choice we get [1]

$$L(\xi) = (\tau_1^- \tau_2^+)^{c\lambda+\alpha} L^0(\xi), \quad (5.3)$$

L^0 being the original L operator (3.3) with the trigonometric r matrix. As has been shown above for $c = 0$ this system would contain the AL and the DTTC models. Therefore in the general case it should yield new generalised integrable models with r matrix having an interesting nonadditive dependence on spectral parameters and at the same time it would naturally recover all the other classes of integrable models discussed here at different particular cases. Similar construction exist equally for models with rational r matrix.

6 Conclusion

Application of quantum group related constructions to classical integrable discrete systems yields intriguing results in model construction. One gets the Lax operators and associated r matrices in a systematic way, for which to our knowledge no other simple prescription exists. This also gives the construction of some collective integrable models as well as models with nonstandard r -matrices. Such possibilities are

worth exploring for generating new type of integrable lattice models.

Acknowledgement

The author extends his sincere thanks to the organisers of this conference and the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for support.

References:

- [1] A. Kundu and B. Basumallick 1992 *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A 7** 61 ;
A. Kundu and B. Basumallick , *Coloured FRT algebra and its Yang-Baxterisation leading to integrable models with nonadditive R-matrix* Saha Inst. preprint , April 1993
- [2] N.Yu. Reshitikhin , L.A. Takhtajan and L.D. Faddeev 1989 *Algebra and Analysis* **1** 17
- [3] M.J. Ablowitz and J.F. Ladik 1976 *Stud.Appl.Math.* **55** 213
- [4] Yu.B. Suris 1990 *Phys.Lett.* **A 145** 113
- [5] I. Mirola, O. Ragnisco and T.G. Zhang *A novel hierarchy of integrable lattices*, Rome Univ. preprint n. 976, 1993
- [6] V.F.R. Jones 1990 1993 *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **B4** 701
- [7] M. Jimbo 1985 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **20** 331;
V.G. Drinfeld 1986 in *Proc. ICM (Berkeley)* 798
- [8] A.G. Izergin and V.E. Korepin 1982 *Nucl. Phys.* **B 205** [FS 5] 401
- [9] A.G. Izergin and V.E.Korepin 1981 *Sov.Phys.Dokl.* **259** 76 ;
V.O. Tarasov, L.A. Takhtajan and L.D. Faddeev 1983 *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **57** 163 [10] P.L. Christiansen, M.F. Jorgensen and V.B. Kuznetsov, 1993 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **29** 165
- [11] O. Ragnisco and A. Kundu *A simple lattice variant of NLS equation and its deformation with exact quantum solution.*, Saha Inst. preprint SINP/TNP/92-15 , 1992
- [12] N. Reshetikhin 1990 *Lett. Math. Phys.* **20** 331
- [13] A. Kundu and B. Basumallick 1993 *J. Math. Phys.* **34** 1052 ;
B. Basumallick and A. Kundu 1992 *Phys.Lett.* **B 287** 149
- [14] A.J. MacFarlane 1989 *J. Phys.* **A22** 4581